



JSS MAHAVIDYAPEETHA

JSS COLLEGE OF ARTS, COMMERCE & SCIENCE

Autonomous, 'A' Grade and 'College with Potential for Excellence'

OOTY ROAD, MYSURU-570 025, KARNATAKA

Ph: 0821-2548236 & 2548380. FAX: 0821-2548238

E-mail: jssautonomous@gmail.com; Website: jsscacs.edu.in

Curriculum Feedback – Analysis Report (2023-24)

The Feedback from Students, Employers, Alumni, Parents and Teachers on Curriculum was initiated online by spreading across the form URLs and hosting in the college website. The collected feedback responses were consolidated and analysed carefully.

Here is an analytical report on the curriculum-related questions from the feedback provided by Alumni, Employers, Parents, Teachers and Students. The following table summarizes the key points:

Category	Key Feedback on Curriculum	Positive Response	Negative Response	Suggestions for Improvement
Alumni	Curriculum supported career development (Highly/Moderately effectively)	85.9%	14.1%	Include more internships and practical applications.
	Curriculum helped personal/professional growth	88.8%	11.2%	Update curriculum to match industry trends.
	Quality of teaching/learning resources (Excellent/Good)	91.6%	8.4%	More updated content and enhanced assessments.
	Relevance of curriculum to industry (Very/Moderately relevant)	85.9%	14.1%	Add more interdisciplinary topics and soft skills training.
Employers	Graduates' application of theoretical knowledge to practical situations	62.5%	37.5%	Include advanced technical and communication skills training.
	Relevance of curriculum to industry trends	62.5%	37.5%	Focus more on soft skills and teamwork training.
	Graduates' problem-solving and critical-thinking skills (Always/Often)	62.5%	37.5%	More focus on critical thinking and problem-solving activities.
Parents	Curriculum alignment with industry/job market (Completely/Mostly aligns)	64.1%	35.9%	More practical applications, technical skills and interdisciplinary content.
	Satisfaction with teaching resources (Very satisfied/Satisfied)	93.1%	6.9%	More updated materials and hands-on learning opportunities.

	Preparation for higher education/careers (Completely/Mostly prepared)	55.3%	44.7%	Regular updates to curriculum, soft skills training and placement opportunities.
Students	Clear communication of learning objectives (Very/Moderately clear)	96.7%	3.3%	More focus on hands-on learning, industry exposure and internships.
	Curriculum's integration of theory and practice (Very/Moderately well)	94.4%	5.6%	Introduce more real-world applications and technical skills.
	Satisfaction with assessment methods (Very/Moderately effective)	91.2%	8.8%	Improve assessment variety and fairness.
	Curriculum's support for future career/further studies (Exceptionally/Quite well)	41.1%	58.9%	More career-oriented content and better support for internships and placements.

The overall analytical outcomes are represented in the bar graph given below:




Figure: Analytical outcomes of the responses on curriculum feedback

The following are the opinions/suggestions collectively obtained after analysis of responses in toto for taking necessary action:

1. **Practical Applications:** Across categories, there is a strong desire for more hands-on learning, internships and industry collaborations.
2. **Curriculum Updates:** There is a need to regularly update the curriculum to stay aligned with current industry trends, incorporating interdisciplinary topics and soft skills development.
3. **Teacher and Resource Support:** Feedback indicates satisfaction with the teaching staff, but students and alumni emphasize the need for better resources and modern teaching aids.
4. **Assessment Methods:** Suggestions were made to diversify and enhance assessment techniques to reflect real-world skills.
5. **Career Preparation:** While many are satisfied with the curriculum, improvements in job placement opportunities and practical skill-building were repeatedly suggested.

A detailed breakdown of all the responses for each question related to the curriculum across **Alumni, Employers, Parents, Students and Teachers** feedback is given below Tables.

Table 1. Alumni Feedback (71 responses)

Question	Response Options	Number of Responses
Which courses or aspects of the curriculum did you find most valuable?	Core Courses	31
	Elective Courses	36
	Practical Labs	4
	Internships	0
Were there any courses or topics that you felt were missing or underrepresented?	Yes, Technical Topics	31
	Yes, Soft Skills	5
	Yes, Interdisciplinary Topics	12
	No, It Was Comprehensive	23
How effectively did the curriculum help you develop practical skills relevant to your career?	Very Effectively	7
	Moderately Effectively	36
	Slightly Effectively	25
	Ineffectively	3
How well did the curriculum support your personal and professional growth?	Extremely Well	8
	Quite Well	31
	Somewhat Well	32
	Poorly	0
How relevant was the curriculum to current industry trends and demands?	Very Relevant	8
	Moderately Relevant	27
	Slightly Relevant	34
	Not Relevant	2

Were the learning objectives and outcomes of the courses clearly communicated?	Very Clearly	6
	Moderately Clearly	45
	Slightly Clearly	20
	Not Clearly	0
How would you rate the quality of the teaching and learning resources provided?	Excellent	6
	Good	19
	Fair	44
	Poor	2
What changes or improvements would you suggest for the curriculum?	More Practical Applications	7
	Updated Content	15
	Better Teaching Methods	3
	Enhanced Assessment Methods	43
How well did the curriculum help you in building a professional network?	Very Well	12
	Moderately Well	23
	Slightly Well	36
	Not Well	0

Table 2. Employer Feedback (8 responses)

Question	Response Options	Number of Responses
Are there specific skills or knowledge areas where our graduates excel?	Technical Skills	1
	Communication Skills	1
	Problem-Solving Skills	2
	Teamwork Skills	4
Are there specific skills or knowledge areas where our graduates need improvement?	Technical Skills	1
	Communication Skills	4
	Problem-Solving Skills	1
	Teamwork Skills	2
How relevant is the curriculum to current trends and demands of your industry?	Highly Relevant	2
	Moderately Relevant	3
	Slightly Relevant	3
	Not Relevant	0
How effective are our graduates in applying theoretical knowledge to practical situations?	Very Effective	1
	Moderately Effective	4
	Slightly Effective	3
	Not Effective	0
Do our graduates demonstrate strong problem-solving and critical-thinking skills?	Always	2
	Often	3
	Sometimes	3
	Rarely	0
Are our graduates well-prepared to work in interdisciplinary teams?	Very Well-Prepared	1
	Well-Prepared	1
	Somewhat Prepared	6
	Not Prepared	0

How important are soft skills in your hiring decisions and how well do our graduates possess these skills?	Extremely Important and Strong in Graduates	5
	Important and Moderate in Graduates	3
Would you be interested in collaborating with us on curriculum development or offering internships?	Very Interested	1
	Moderately Interested	2
	Slightly Interested	5
	Not Interested	0

Table 3. Parents Feedback (103 responses)

Question	Response Options	Number of Responses
Do you feel the curriculum aligns well with industry standards and future job market demands?	Completely Aligns	22
	Mostly Aligns	44
	Partially Aligns	37
	Does Not Align	0
How well does the curriculum support your child's personal and academic growth?	Exceptionally Well	9
	Quite Well	47
	Somewhat Well	47
	Poorly	0
Are you satisfied with the teaching resources and materials provided to your child?	Very Satisfied	41
	Satisfied	54
	Somewhat Satisfied	7
	Not Satisfied	1
Do you feel the curriculum prepares your child adequately for higher education or career?	Completely Prepared	14
	Mostly Prepared	43
	Partially Prepared	46
	Not Prepared	0
How often should the curriculum be reviewed and updated?	Annually	10
	Every 2-3 Years	27
	Every 4-5 Years	61
	Only When Necessary	5

Table 4. Students Feedback (214 responses)

Question	Response Options	Number of Responses
Are the learning objectives and outcomes clearly defined for each course?	Very Clear	55
	Moderately Clear	152
	Slightly Clear	7
	Not Clear	0
	Very Well	64

How well does the curriculum integrate theoretical knowledge with practical applications?	Moderately Well	138
	Slightly Well	12
	Poorly	0
Are the teaching resources and materials provided adequate and helpful?	Very Adequate	15
	Adequate	66
	Somewhat Adequate	132
	Inadequate	1
How effective are the current assessment methods in evaluating your learning?	Very Effective	59
	Moderately Effective	136
	Slightly Effective	19
	Ineffective	0
Do you feel adequately supported by your teachers in understanding the curriculum?	Always	166
	Often	30
	Sometimes	15
	Rarely	3
How well does the curriculum prepare you for your future career or further studies?	Exceptionally Well	19
	Quite Well	69
	Somewhat Well	122
	Poorly	4
Are you satisfied with the opportunities for hands-on learning and internships?	Very Satisfied	17
	Satisfied	74
	Somewhat Satisfied	74
	Not Satisfied	49
What suggestions do you have for improving the curriculum?	More Hands-On Learning	150
	Updated Course Content	51
	Better Support from Teachers	15
	Improved Assessment Methods	15

Table 5. Teachers Feedback (43 responses)

Question	Response Options	Number of Responses
How satisfied are you with the curriculum content and structure?	Very Satisfied	10
	Satisfied	28
	Somewhat Satisfied	5
	Not Satisfied	0
How relevant is the curriculum to current industry needs?	Highly Relevant	6
	Moderately Relevant	28
	Slightly Relevant	9
	Not Relevant	0

How often should the curriculum be reviewed and updated?	Annually	5
	Every 2-3 Years	16
	Every 4-5 Years	19
	Only When Necessary	3
Is the curriculum flexible enough to adapt to changing educational needs?	Very Flexible	5
	Flexible	29
	Somewhat Flexible	9
	Not Flexible	0
Does the curriculum help students develop practical skills along with theoretical knowledge?	Very Well	11
	Moderately Well	24
	Slightly Well	8
	Poorly	0
Do you believe the curriculum aligns with industry trends and demands?	Strongly Agree	8
	Agree	27
	Neutral	7
	Disagree	1
Would you suggest changes to improve the curriculum?	Yes	35
	No	8

The above comprehensive tables cover all the relevant questions and response details to help in creating a data-driven analysis.